Appendix A:

Proposed Amendments to Sydney LEP 2012

Table of Contents

AMENDMENT 1 - FACILITATING LOW IMPACT CREATIVE USES
AMENDMENT 2 - BALCONIES ON HIGH-RISE RESIDENTIAL FLAT BUILDINGS
AMENDMENT 3 - COMMUNITY FACILITIES AND CHILD CARE CENTRES FLOOR SPACE IN CENTRAL SYDNEY
AMENDMENT 4 - LANES DEVELOPMENT FLOOR SPACE IN CENTRAL SYDNEY
AMENDMENT 5 – CAR PARKING AND CAR SHARE SCHEME PARKING (PART 7, DIVISION 1)
AMENDMENT 6 - 83-93 DALMENY AVENUE, ROSEBERY 17
AMENDMENT 7 - HERITAGE FLOOR SPACE DISCOUNT RELATING TO EXISTING BUILDINGS
AMENDMENT 8 - COMMUNITY INFRASTRUCTURE FLOOR SPACE IN GREEN SQUARE
AMENDMENT 9 - CORRECT SUN ACCESS PLANE POINTS
AMENDMENT 10 - CORRECT DESIGN EXCELLENCE CAPITAL VALUE REFERENCE
AMENDMENT 11 - CORRECT DESCRIPTION OF OPPORTUNITY SITE – 453 AND 443-451 KENT STREET, SYDNEY
AMENDMENT 12 - CORRECT LEP MAPPING CADASTRE- 17 ELIZABETH BAY ROAD, ELIZABETH BAY35
AMENDMENT 13 - CORRECT DESCRIPTION OF SCHEDULE 5 HERITAGE ITEM – 22-26 YORK STREET, SYDNEY
AMENDMENT 14 - ALTER SCHEDULE 5 HERITAGE ITEM- 372 RILEY STREET, SURRY HILLS
AMENDMENT 15 - ALTER SCHEDULE 5 HERITAGE ITEM – 73 GLEBE POINT ROAD, GLEBE
AMENDMENT 16 - CORRECT DESCRIPTION OF SCHEDULE 5 HERITAGE ITEM – 69-77 KING STREET, NEWTOWN
AMENDMENT 17 - CORRECT DESCRIPTION OF SCHEDULE 5 HERITAGE ITEM – 117-119 YOUNG STREET, REDFERN
AMENDMENT 18 - CORRECT DESCRIPTION OF SCHEDULE 5 HERITAGE ITEM – 97-99 VICTORIA STREET, POTTS POINT
AMENDMENT 19 - CORRECT DESCRIPTION OF SCHEDULE 5 HERITAGE ITEM – 1-3 ROSEBERY AVENUE, ROSEBERY
AMENDMENT 20 - CORRECT DESCRIPTION OF SCHEDULE 5 HERITAGE ITEM – 168-174 CATHEDRAL STREET, WOOLLOOMOOLOO

Objectives/	This amendment seeks to reduce barriers to the supply of work spaces for creative
intended outcomes	uses by allowing adaptive reuse of non-residential buildings in residential zones fo creative uses.
Site identification	Applicable development in R1 General Residential zones throughout the council area.
Explanation	To introduce a new clause to permit low impact creative uses in the R1 General Residential zone.
Justification	Creative uses can provide social capital and contribute to community well-being, engagement and skills development. Creative uses also contribute to the City's economy, and a city that is an attractive place to live, work and invest. <i>Sustainable</i> <i>Sydney 2030</i> and the <i>Draft Cultural Policy and Action Plan 2014 – 2024</i> have objectives to support the provision of space for creative industries. Challenges for creative industries include an adequate supply of affordable and appropriate workspaces to showcase, practise or sell their work.
	The NSW Department of Culture, Media and Sport's <i>Creative Industries Mapping Document</i> (2001) defines creative industries as those industries which have their origin in individual creativity, skill and talent, and that have a potential for wealth and job creation through the generation and exploitation of intellectual property. The industries are formed from a broad range of sub-sectors.
	Under this amendment, creative uses are specifically defined as media, fine arts, arts & crafts, design (fashion, industrial, graphic), film and television, photography and publishing. This amendment focusses on a limited range of uses, rather than the broad spectrum which includes advertising, music, performing arts, theatres and the like.
	Creative uses are not defined in SLEP 2012 but fall under a range of group terms. For example:
	 artist studios with teaching facilities or creative businesses with training facilities are typically 'business premises' artists studios, web development, graphic design, film editing and art photography are typically 'office premises' handmade furniture design, fashion design with manufacturing, artist studio and craft, pottery and sculpture are typically 'light industry' definition
	Much of the City is zoned for mixed use or business where a large range of creative uses are permitted as 'commercial premises' which includes retail, office and business premises. Industrial zones also permit and attract creative uses due to lower land values.

AMENIDMENT 1	FACILITATING LOW IMPACT CREATIVE USES
AWENDWENT 1 -	
	In the residential zones, however, business and office premises and light industry are prohibited. This means creative uses such as artist studios with and without teaching facilities, arts & craft or photography studios with and without teaching facilities, graphic design and film editing premises are prohibited. These creative uses are prohibited even though they may be low impact and compatible with the area.
	The areas zoned R1 General Residential (R1 zone) have traditionally supported a limited range of compatible non-residential development. There is non-residential building stock in these zones, such as warehouses and corner shops, that could be used for appropriate non-residential purposes, but which the LEP does not currently allow. Non-residential buildings that have not yet been converted to residential offer opportunities for workspaces for creative uses.
	Sydney LEP 2012 is the consolidation of three different zoning approaches under the previous controls and their translation into the NSW Government's Standard Instrument format. Residential zones were generally converted to the R1 General Residential zone.
	The South Sydney LEP 1998 permitted compatible non-residential development in the 2(b) residential zone. However, the R1 General Residential zone only permits limited non-residential uses such as shops and food and drink premises, 'home industry' and 'home business'. The adaptive reuse of existing non-residential buildings for creative uses is not permitted.
	It is proposed to permit low impact office, business and light industrial creative uses in the R1 General Residential zone. They will only be permitted in existing non- residential buildings and where they do not detract from the amenity of the area. To manage potential impacts with neighbouring residential uses and ensure the uses are compatible with residential development, only the following low-impact uses will be permitted: media, fine arts, arts & crafts, design (fashion, industrial, graphic), film and television, photography and publishing.
	It is not recommended to amend the Land Use Table for Zone R1 General Residential to allow 'office premises', 'business premises' or 'light industry' uses (or their group terms) to be permitted with consent. This is not considered an appropriate approach given the unintended consequences that arise from the <i>State</i> <i>Environmental Planning Policy (Exempt and Complying Development Codes) 2008</i> (Exempt and Complying SEPP) from this approach.
	Change of use of premises is possible under the Exempt and Complying SEPP. To be exempt or complying development, the new use must meet the standards specified and comply with the requirements in the SEPP. This includes that the new use must be permissible with consent under an environmental planning instrument applying to the land being developed.
	The proposed amendment will only make certain forms of business and office premises and light industry permissible in the R1 zones, for example media, fine arts, arts & crafts, design (fashion, industrial, graphic), film and television, photography and publishing. 'Office premises', 'business premises' and 'light industry' (or their group terms) will still be prohibited under the Land Use Table.

AMENDMENT 1	- FACILITATING LOW IMPACT CREATIVE USES
	If 'office premises', 'business premises' and 'light industry' (or their group terms) are permitted with consent as a way to address the situation, it would mean exempt and complying development applications to change the use of the premises to any type of business, office or light industry would be possible in future. This will encourage uses that may not be compatible with the R1 zone objectives.
	The new clause will apply to existing non-residential buildings only and not allow additional floor space or changes to the external form of the building. Also, the consent authority is to be satisfied that the use does not detract from the amenity enjoyed by nearby residents including that the hours of operation are appropriate.
Drafting	Insert a new local clause. The objective is to permit low impact creative uses in the
instructions	R1 General Residential zone. The new clause is to:
	apply to existing non-residential buildings on land in Zone R1 General
	Residential that were constructed, wholly or partly, for a purpose other
	than residential accommodation and erected before the commencement of Sydney LEP 2012
	 permit development for the purposes of business and office premises and light industry that will be used for media, fine arts, arts & crafts, design (fashion, industrial, graphic), film and television, photography or publishing require the consent authority be satisfied that:
	 a) the development is compatible with and does not detract from the amenity of residential development,
	b) the building is suitable for adaptive reuse,
	c) no additional floor space is proposed to the building,
	 d) modifications to the building façade are minimal, and e) appropriate hours of operation are imposed.
	Amendments should not result in any changes to the Land Use Table for the R1 General Residential zone. Where 'office premises', 'business premises' or 'light industry' (or their group terms such as 'commercial premises' or 'industries') are prohibited, the status quo is to be maintained. Alternatively, 'office premises', 'business premises' or 'light industry' (or their group terms such as 'commercial premises' or 'industries') are not be made permissible with consent.
	An example of what the clause may look like is provided below:
	(1) The objective of this clause is to provide for creative uses specified in (3) below.
	(2) This clause applies to land in Zone R1 General Residential
	(3) Development consent must not be granted to development for the
	purpose of business premises, office premises or light industry on land to
	which this clauses applies unless the consent authority is satisfied that:
	a) the development will be used for media, fine arts, arts & crafts,
	design (fashion, industrial, graphic), film and television,
	photography, publishing, and

i. the development is located within a building that was
constructed wholly or partly for a purpose other than residentia
accommodation and was erected before the commencement o this Plan,
 ii. the development is compatible with, and does not detract from the amenity of residential development,
iii. the building is suitable for adaptive reuse,
iv. no additional floor space is proposed to the building,
 v. modifications to the building façade are minimal (eg, signage only), and
vi. appropriate hours of operation are imposed.

Objectives/ intended outcomes	To provide more usable private open spaces by allowing balconies on high-rise residential towers to be partially enclosed without reducing the overall residential development potential.
Site identification	Applicable development LGA-wide
Explanation	A new provision will allow the partial enclosure of balconies on residential towers over 30 metres high without counting the balcony floor area towards the gross floor area. The consent authority is to be satisfied that the enclosure is designed fo use as an external space, has adequate natural ventilation, cannot be fully enclosed and will not increase the bulk of the development.
Justification	Balconies on high-rise residential buildings can suffer from very high wind speeds and other amplified adverse weather conditions. They can be difficult to regularly and comfortably use as private open space.
	Under the LEP definition, balcony floor area is required to be counted as gross floo area when balconies are enclosed with walls above 1.4 metres high. This discourages proponents partially enclosing balconies to provide useable private open space.
	The proposed amendment will allow the floor space of partially enclosed balconies to facilitate this design outcome. The amendment will also allow the floor area of partially enclosed balconies to be excluded from the calculation of gross floor area This will provide for private open space with relatively minor changes to the overa bulk of a high rise residential flat building.
	Enclosed balconies were approved by the Central Sydney Planning Committee for the Greenland Centre at 115-119 Bathurst Street, Sydney. These enclosed balconie were designed to be naturally ventilated external spaces protected from wind and rain. A similar outcome was approved for the Lumiere Residences at 101 Bathurst Street, Sydney in 2003. A photomontage of the Greenland Centre balconies is shown below:

AMENDMENT 2	BALCONIES ON HIGH-RISE RESIDENTIAL FLAT BUILDINGS
	Residential buildings over 30 metres high create wind conditions that make using balconies uncomfortable. To control the bulk of the building, the amount of floor area for enclosed balconies that can be excluded from the gross floor area calculation cannot exceed 15 per cent of the gross floor area of the apartment to which is it connected. This takes into account the average size of balconies in the Residential Flat Design Code. To avoid the enclosed balconies being used as internal floor area and used to
	increase the overall development potential, the consent authority must be satisfied that the balconies are designed as naturally ventilated external spaces before excluding the additional floor area resulting from the enclosed balconies.
	DCP provisions have been drafted to guide the consent authority's consideration of the design of the balconies and enclosures. The provisions will be exhibited alongside the planning proposal.
Drafting instructions	Insert a new clause that allows balconies on residential high rise towers to be partially enclosed without the need to include balcony floor area as gross floor area.
	 The new clause is to: apply to residential flat buildings over 30 metres high, enable the partial enclosure of balconies to provide open private open space that is usable and has reasonable amenity allow the consent authority to exclude the floor area of partially enclosed balconies from the calculation of gross floor area, the maximum area that may be excluded from the calculation of gross floor area under the clause is 15 per cent of the gross floor area of the apartment to which the enclosed balcony is connected, require the consent authority to be satisfied that: the enclosure is designed as a balcony for use as external open space, the enclosure has adequate natural ventilation and cannot be fully enclosed, and the bulk of the building is no greater than if would be if the balconies were not partly enclosed.
	 An example of what the clause may look like is provided below: Wind affected balcony floor space 1. The objective of this clause is to provide reasonable wind amenity for private residential balconies on high rise buildings.
	 The clause applies to residential development within a building with a height of 30 metres or more.
	3. The consent authority may exclude the floor area of a private external balcony that is partially enclosed by a wind screen from the calculation of gross floor area.
	4. The maximum private external balcony area that may be excluded under this

clause must not exceed 15 per cent of the gross floor area of the apartment to which the balcony is connected.
5. In considering whether to exclude the floor area of a wind screen protected balcony the consent authority must be satisfied that:
a. the glass wind screen is designed so the balcony remains external open space,
b. the wind screen design ensures permanent natural ventilation an cannot be fully enclosed or sealed from the weather, and
c. the bulk of the building is no greater than it would be if balconies were not partially enclosed.

AMENDMENT 3	- COMMUNITY FACILITIES AND CHILD CARE CENTRES FLOOR SPACE IN CENTRAL SYDNEY
Objectives/ intended outcomes	To facilitate the provision of community facilities and child care centres in Central Sydney by removing a disincentive under clause 6.4. To ensure the maximum accommodation floor space bonus can be achieved if community facilities or child care centres are provided in a development.
Site identification	Applicable development in Central Sydney
Explanation	To introduce 'community facilities' and 'child care centres' into the scope of land uses in Central Sydney that attract bonus accommodation floor space under clause 6.4 of Sydney LEP 2012.
Justification	The provision of community facilities and child care centres are integral components of building communities and local economies. The City encourages their provision as child care centres are under supplied in parts of Central Sydney.
	Clause 6.4 allows for a floor space bonus, known as accommodation floor space. It encourages a building to include specified uses such as residential, hotel, commercial and retail uses within identified areas as shown on the Floor Space Ratio Map. The bonus floor space varies between 1.5:1 and 6:1 depending on the use and where in Central Sydney it is located.
	Clause 6.4(2) requires the bonus floor space to be reduced proportionally if only part of the building is used for a specified use.
	Community facilities and child care centres are not able to be awarded the bonus floor space. Including community facilities or child care centres in a development reduces the bonus floor space that can be awarded to the development and the overall size of the development.
	For example, if the community facility occupies 5% of the building and the remainder (95%) is used for residential, only 95% of the bonus floor space can be awarded. For a 1,000 square metre site in Area A2, the bonus floor space would be 5,700 square metres (6 x 95% x 1000) rather than 6,000 square metres if the whole building was residential. A proponent will be discouraged from providing a community facility or child care centre as they will not be able to develop as large a building.
	It is proposed to remove this disincentive to the provision of community facilities and child care centres. It is proposed to add 'community facilities' and 'child care centres' to the specified uses under clause 6.4 to enable those uses to be awarded the maximum bonus throughout Central Sydney. This will allow buildings that include community facilities and child care centres to achieve the maximum floor space.
	The proposed amendment will not allow buildings to exceed the absolute maximum floor space that can be achieved using accommodation floor space.
	Council can vary the floor space ratio standard subject to meeting criteria under clause 4.6 of the LEP. The amendment means the development standard does not need to be raised to encourage the provision of community facilities and child care centres.

AMENDMENT 3 -	COMMUNITY FACILITIES AND CHILD CARE CENTRES FLOOR SPACE IN CENTRAL SYDNEY
Drafting instructions	Amend clause 6.4 to ensure there is no loss of development potential if a community facility or child care centre is included in a development.
	Insert 'community facilities and child care centres' into clauses 6.4(1)(a), (d), (f) and (g).
	An example of the amendment is provided below. Additional text shown as <u>bold</u> <u>underline</u> and deleted text as bold strikethrough
	6.4 Accommodation floor space (1) A building that is in an Area, and is used for a purpose specified in relation to the Area in paragraph (a), (b), (c), (d), (e), (f) or (g), is eligible for an amount of additional floor space (accommodation floor space) equivalent to that which may be achieved by applying to the building the floor space ratio specified in the relevant paragraph:
	 (a) Area 1, hotel or motel accommodation, community facilities or child care <u>centres</u>—6:1, (b) Area 1, office premises, business premises, retail premises, residential accommodation or serviced apartments—4.5:1, (c) Area 2, office premises, business premises, or retail premises —4.5:1, (d) Area 2, residential accommodation, serviced apartments, or hotel or motel accommodation, or community facilities or child care centres —6:1, (e) Area 3, office premises, business premises, or retail premises —2:1, (f) Area 3, residential accommodation, serviced apartments, or hotel or motel accommodation, or community facilities or child care centres —3:1, (g) Area 4, residential accommodation, serviced apartments, or hotel or motel accommodation, community facilities or child care centres —1.5:1.
	(2) The amount of additional floor space that can be achieved under a paragraph is to be reduced proportionally if only part of a building is used for a purpose specified in that paragraph.
	(3) More than one amount under subclause (1) may apply in respect of a building that is used for more than one purpose.

AMENDMENT 4	LANES DEVELOPMENT FLOOR SPACE IN CENTRAL SYDNEY
Objectives/ intended outcomes	Refine the criteria for application of the lanes development floor space to ensure the intent of the clause is achieved.
Site identification	Applicable development in Central Sydney
Explanation	To change the application of the clause from lanes less than 6m to those identified on a map.
Justification	<i>Sustainable Sydney 2030</i> includes an objective to support cultural, creative, retail and small business activity in the city centre. The City's laneways revitalisation program, finegrain matching grants and planning controls support this objective.
	The intent of clause 6.8 is to promote the activation of laneways with fine-grain development. It encourages existing buildings to introduce such uses through floor space incentives. Existing buildings may be prevented from doing so because the building has already achieved the maximum FSR permissible, or may exceed the maximum as a result of proposing laneway development.
	Clause 6.8(1) applies to buildings fronting lanes with a width 6m or less in Central Sydney. This criteria does not reflect the majority of lanes in Central Sydney and acts as a barrier to the lanes development floor space incentive being taken up.
	Around a quarter of Central Sydney lanes are estimated to be less than 6m wide. Around 10 per cent of lanes have variable width depending at which point along the lane measurements are taken. Around 60 per cent of the lanes are estimated to be wider than 6m. Given the varying widths of laneways in Central Sydney, identification of the lanes through a map provides greater certainty for the application of the clause.
	It is proposed to replace the 6m criteria with a map identifying applicable lanes within Central Sydney. The map is based on the existing lanes map in Sydney Development Control Plan 2012, a review of the potential opportunities for the incentive to be taken up and the City's program for laneway upgrades.
	Clause 6.8 also requires lanes development to be in an existing building in Central Sydney and the proposed development, be located on the ground level and not exceed 100m ² gross floor area. These subclauses are not affected by the proposed change.
	The proposed change will ensure the intent of the clause is achieved and increase certainty to existing building owners about the applicability of lanes development floor space.
Drafting Instructions	Amend clause 6.8(1)(c) as follows, with additional text shown as bold underline and delete text shown as bold strikethrough below:
	6.8 Lanes development floor space (1) This clause applies only to development consisting of alterations or additions to a building that:

AMENDME	INT 4 - LANES DEVELOPMENT FLOOR SPACE IN CENTRAL SYDNEY
	(a) is in existence on the making of this Plan, and
	(b) is on land in Central Sydney, and
	(c) adjoins a public road with a width less than 6 metres (a <i>lane</i>) <u>as shown on the</u> Lanes Map.
	Insert new map that identifies laneways, for the purpose of giving effect to the proposed amendments to clause 6.8. A draft lanes map is attached.







AMENDMENT 5	- CAR PARKING AND CAR SHARE SCHEME PARKING (PART 7, DIVISION 1)
Objectives/ intended outcomes	To remove a barrier to the provision of care share scheme parking spaces in new development by ensuring the maximum car parking rate can be achieved, excluding car share parking spaces from the definition of a car parking space and providing a definition of a car share scheme.
Site identification	Applicable development LGA-wide
Explanation	Exclude car share parking spaces from the definition of a car parking space under Part 7, Division 1 <i>Car parking ancillary to other development</i> of Sydney LEP 2012.
	Introduce a new provision under clause 7.2 to define a car share scheme to provide clarity on the interpretation of Part 7, Division 1.
Justification	The City of Sydney encourages the expansion of car share as a key initiative to suppor the transport goals of the City's <i>Sustainable Sydney 2030 vision</i> . The City's <i>Car Share</i> <i>Policy 2011</i> includes a commitment to increase the number of households that use a car share scheme to 10% of all households by 2016. As of May 2012, approximately 6,200 resident households (6.4%) of the City were registered members of car share schemes.
	Car sharing provides short-term hire of passenger and light commercial vehicles for personal and business use by its members who have paid a joining fee. It does not have casual non-member users of its vehicles as is the case with car hire or car rental companies.
	Since 2009, the City has implemented on-street car share parking by approving 392 on-street parking spaces for conversion into car share bays. The provision of off-stree (on-site) car share parking spaces in developments is supported by the Sydney DCP 2012 (clause 3.11.2).
	The City's planning controls encourages the use of car share schemes and sets out how it is to be provided and managed including the recommended number of spaces in a development. The controls do not require car share scheme parking spaces to be provided.
	On 12 May 2014, Council adopted an amendment to Sydney DCP 2012 to allow car share parking rates to be provided in addition to the maximum number of parking spaces permitted in a development.
	This amendment clarifies the car parking requirements and the meanings of car share scheme parking spaces and car share parking schemes to facilitate their provision.
	Part 7, Division 1 of the LEP includes provisions for car parking spaces within developments and sets the maximum amount of car parking spaces for certain land uses.
	Clause 7.2 defines a 'car parking space' and excludes car parking for purposes such as washing vehicles, loading and unloading of goods and bike storage. The current definition of a car parking space means a car share scheme parking space is included in the total number of spaces allowed. This means including car share scheme parking in a development reduces the number of car parking spaces for private motor vehicles.

AMENDMENT 5 - CAR PARKING AND CAR SHARE SCHEME PARKING (PART 7, DIVISION 1)		
	that can be provided and discourages proponents from providing car share scheme spaces.	
	The amendment will exclude car share scheme spaces from the calculation of the maximum number of car parking spaces within a development. The amendment is consistent with the recent amendment to Sydney DCP 2012 which removed a disincentive to the provision of car share scheme parking spaces by allowing them to be provided in addition to the maximum number of parking spaces permitted in a development.	
	Clause 7.2 will also be amended to define a car share scheme. This will ensure that car share scheme parking spaces are provided only for genuine car share schemes.	
Drafting Instructions	Amend clause 7.2(1) as follows, with additional text shown as bold underline as show below:	
	7.2 Interpretation (1) For the purposes of this Division:	
	 car parking space means a space intended to be used for the parking of cars that is ancillary to another land use on the site, but does not include any of the following: (a) a place primarily used for the purpose of washing vehicles, (b) a place primarily used for the purpose of loading or unloading of goods, (c) a place primarily used for the purpose of storing bicycles, 	
	(d) a car parking space in a car park-, (e) a car share parking space used only by cars belonging to any organised car share scheme.	
	Insert a new provision under clause 7.2 to define a car share scheme as:	
	In this clause: car share scheme means a service offered by a public or private organisation that provides access to vehicles exclusively for its members. The scheme does not have casual non-member users of its vehicle. The service involves managing and maintaining vehicles that are made available for use by the organisation's members on demand and on a pay as you go short term basis from set locations.	

AMENDMENT 6	- 83-93 DALMENY AVENUE, ROSEBERY
Objectives/ intended outcomes	To provide for a range of compatible non-residential uses at 83-93 Dalmeny Avenue, Rosebery.
Site identification	The properties at 83-93 Dalmeny Avenue, Rosebery are bound by Dalmeny Avenue to the west, Southern Cross Drive to the east and Kimberly Grove to the south. The site is approximately 7 hectares in area. It is located on the edge of the Green Square redevelopment area and north of Rosebery Estate. See Figure 1. 83-93 Dalmeny Avenue, Rosebery has a property description of Lot 110 DP 861950, Lots 1-128 SP 53194, Lots 133-286 SP 53997, Lots 287-469 SP 56729, Lots 470-571 SP 58586, Lots 572-689 SP 61596, Lots 691-797 SP 62388.
	<image/>
	83-93 Dalmeny Avenue, Rosebery is an existing medium density residential development with non-residential tenancies.
	The site is known as 'Kimberly Estate'. A masterplan was approved in March 1995 for a maximum of 750 units for a residential population of approximately 1,600 residents. The estate consists numerous residential flat buildings that were developed in five stages beginning in the mid 1990's. Approximately 500 square meters of non-residential uses are located in the western portion of the site by the main entrance to the estate. These include retail spaces, child care and community facilities approved as part of the masterplan. Post construction, development approval has been granted for business premises such as a drying cleaning service.
	The area to the west of the site is the North Rosebery precinct which has predominantly light industrial and commercial uses, but has been transitioning to mixed use and residential precinct over recent years. To the south of the site is Rosebery Estate, a predominantly low scale residential area.

AMENDMENT 6	- 83-93 DALMENY AVENUE, ROSEBERY
Explanation	To enable 'business premises' to be permitted with consent on this site by adding the use to Schedule 1 Additional Permitted Uses in Sydney LEP 2012.
Justification	The amendment to Schedule 1 of Sydney LEP 2012 will enable the continuation of existing uses and better reflect the range of compatible non-residential appropriate for the site to complement the future needs of residents.
	The site was previously zoned 2(b) Medium Density Residential (2(b) zone) under <i>South Sydney Local Environmental Plan 1998</i> . The 2(b) zone is predominately a residential zone that allows limited opportunities for non-residential development and small-scale local business activity, compatible with existing residential development. The site is currently zoned R1 General Residential (R1 zone) under Sydney LEP 2012. Figure 2 is an extract from the zoning map for Sydney LEP 2012 which shows the site zoned as R1 General Residential. Land to the north and west of the site is zoned B4 Mixed Use. The existing non-residential premises are located along the western boundary of the site, opposite land zoned Mixed Use.
	A review of development applications reveals that several mixed use residential and commercial developments have been approved in close proximity, directly north and to the west of the site. These approvals generally comprise numerous residential buildings and commercial or retail uses. Other uses in the area include light industrial, bulky goods storage, offices, motor showrooms and gymnasium. These sites however, are zoned B4 Mixed Uses.
	The Standard Instrument R1 zone is generally an appropriate translation for the 2(b) Medium Density Residential zone. The R1 zone reflects the established predominately residential character of this estate. Some non-residential uses are permitted in the R1 zone, including child care centres, food and drink premises, home industries, shops and neighbourhood shops.
	However, business premises including services such as hairdressers, clothes alterations and tutoring services, uses which currently exist on the site, are prohibited. Under the LEP, business premises are defined as:
	 "a building or place at or on which: (a) an occupation, profession or trade (other than an industry) is carried on for the provision of services directly to members of the public on a regular basis, or (b) a service is provided directly to members of the public on a regular basis"
	The effect is that existing businesses are prohibited and therefore reliant on existing use rights if they wish to make changes to their operations. It also means new business premises cannot be approved to replace the existing.
	The existing group of shops, food and drink premises and businesses on this site provide a neighbourhood level of service to a well-established residential population. The small-scale local business activities on site include a hairdresser that has been operating for around three years, as well as a tutoring service for primary school children. These businesses do not appear to have a negative impact on the residential amenity and small-scale local business that serves the needs of



AMENDMENT 6 - 83-93 DALMENY AVENUE, ROSEBERY	
	The prohibition of business uses on 83-93 Dalmeny Avenue was a consequence of translating the previous planning instrument to into the standard template. It is recommended to make business premises permissible with consent specifically on the site to ensure their current and future operations are not compromised and to accommodate a range of uses for which there is demonstrated demand.
	Schedule 1 of Sydney LEP 2012 provides for additional permitted uses for particular land. Schedule 1 should only be used in circumstances where it can be demonstrated that the intended outcome cannot be controlled by rezoning or rezoning would generate additional impacts which would not be acceptable.
	Spot rezoning is not considered an appropriate strategy to deal with the site's circumstances, given the small number of non-residential premises involved and the site is not identified in a strategic study or report to support rezoning the site from R1 to B4. It is outside of the Green Square Urban Renewal Area and North Rosebery precinct. Rezoning to mixed use would allow for the expansion of the compatible non-residential uses. It would also allow for an increased intensity of non-residential activity that was not planned for in the site's masterplan and may require additional physical works and measures to mitigate against associated impacts, particularly given residential apartments adjoin and are located directly above the non-residential uses.
	Schedule 1 amendments have been made to Sydney LEP 2012 for similar circumstances. For example the Sydney Fish Market site permitting charter and tourism boating facilities, jetties, port facilities and water recreation structures with development consent; and 57 Ashmore Street and 165–175 Mitchell Road at Erskineville permitting depots, hardware and building supplies, light industries, timber yards and warehouse or distribution centres with development consent.
	The objectives of the R1 zone still apply and ensure compatible uses and that, along with DCP controls, impacts can be managed. It will provide opportunities for a limited range of compatible non-residential uses to serve the needs of residents.
Drafting Instructions	Amend Schedule 1 of the Sydney LEP 2012 to permit "business premises" as permissible uses on the land at 83-93 Dalmeny Avenue, Rosebery.

and the second s	7 - HERITAGE FLOOR SPACE DISCOUNT RELATING TO EXISTING BUILDINGS
Objectives/ intended outcomes	That for existing buildings, heritage floor space allocation applies to all new floor area created by the proposed development but not to floor area that is in existence before the proposed development.
Explanation	Amend sub-clause 6.11(2)(c) so that the allocation of heritage floor space for development in existing buildings does not include the amount of floor area equivalent to the existing building's gross floor area that exceeds the maximum floor space ratio in clause 4.4 of Sydney LEP 2012 before the development.
	This will clarify what is reasonable for a proponent to allocate heritage floor space in relation to development in existing buildings.
Justification	The City's Heritage Floor Space scheme is set out under clauses 6.10 and 6.11 of the LEP.
	The scheme creates incentives for the conservation of heritage buildings in Central Sydney. It allows heritage buildings to create heritage floor space based on their unrealised development potential. It then requires development being awarded bonus floor space to purchase heritage floor space from the owners of the heritage buildings. The funds raised assist with the conservation and on-going management of the heritage buildings.
	Clause 6.11(2) allows the consent authority to reduce how much floor space may be required to be purchased, or 'allocated' to a development.
	The clause does not affect the total floor space that can be developed, only the amount of heritage floor space required to be purchased. Any reduction in the amount of heritage floor space to be purchased decreases the cost of development, not the size of the development.
	Reductions are permitted for development that undertakes a design competition, provides a through site link or alterations and additions to an existing building (clauses 6.11(2)(a) and (b)). The latter is clause 6.11(2)(c) and the subject of this amendment.
	Clause 6.11(2)(c) was intended to make clear that heritage floor space must be allocated for all newly created floor area in existing buildings and that the allocation of heritage floor space exclude floor area already in existence prior to the proposed alterations and additions.
	This is important because of the effects from the changes in how floor area is counted under the LEP definitions compared to the definitions in the previous controls. The same existing building may have more or less floor space area under the current controls because different types of spaces are included or excluded when counting the gross floor area. The definitional changes means in some situations existing floor area is now counted but wasn't previously. This consequently affects how much heritage floor space is required to be allocated for existing buildings.
	Any new floor area in a building that exceeds the maximum floor space ratio relies on the accommodation floor space bonus and the allocation of heritage floor space for the newly counted floor area to be approved.

AMENDMENT 7 - HERITAGE FLOOR SPACE DISCOUNT RELATING TO EXISTING BUILDINGS		
	The problem with the clause is that it goes beyond what the City seeks to achieve as it allows for no heritage floor space to be allocated even in the case where new floor area is being created and added to the building.	
	It is therefore proposed to amend the clause so that it is clear that heritage floor space must be allocated for all newly created floor area in existing buildings. The allocation of heritage floor space should not include floor area that exists prior to the proposed alterations and additions to the building.	
Drafting instructions	 Amend sub-clause 6.11(2)(c) in Sydney LEP 2012 to ensure: heritage floor space allocation applies to all new floor space created by proposed development in existing buildings, and that the heritage floor space allocation in these situations should not include the floor area by which the gross floor area of the building exceeds the maximum floor space ratio (under clause 4.4 of Sydney LEP 2012) prior to the proposed development. 	

Objectives/	To amend clause 6.14 Community infrastructure floor space at Green Square
intended outcomes	to clarify the meaning of community infrastructure and the basis upon which additional floor space for community infrastructure is appropriate.
Site identification	The clause applies to the whole of the "Green Square" urban renewal area as defined under <i>Sydney Local Environmental Plan 2012</i> . "Green Square" excludes the Green Square Town Centre which is subject to a separate stand-alone local environmental plan.
Explanation	The additional floor space allowed under clause 6.14 seeks to encourage the provision of community infrastructure with development. Community infrastructure encompasses both physical and social infrastructure that benefit and contributes to the amenity and well-being of the community. The clause is intended to complement other public infrastructure delivery mechanisms such as section 94 developer levies.
	The intended aim is to allow the additional floor space only where the type of infrastructure provided is necessary in Green Square and where the development contributes to the desired character of the locality. The infrastructure is to be of a value that is commensurate with the increased population densities generated by the additional floor space.
	The infrastructure may be provided by way of works, such as a road, or instead it may be a cash payment agreed to between Council and the landowner/developer by way of a planning agreement. Council would use the cash payment for the provision of infrastructure elsewhere in Green Square.
	The provisions of clause 6.14 are supported by more detailed provisions under <i>Sydney Development Control Plan 2012</i> . Council's <i>Development Guidelines</i> – <i>Providing Community Infrastructure in Green Square, December 2012</i> further explains the rationale and describes how the community infrastructure may be delivered, including how the value is calculated so that it is commensurate with the density of development.
Justification	The current wording of clause 6.14 does not clearly state its intended aim and requires the community infrastructure to be included as part of the development. The clause does not permit the alternative of a cash payment when the provision of community infrastructure is not appropriate on a site bu can be provided by Council elsewhere in Green Square. An example is the provision of flood management works such as trunk drainage that may be best constructed by Council at a precinct scale.
	The proposed amendment seeks to address these issues. An amendment is also proposed in the relevant provisions of <i>Sydney Development Control Plan 2012</i> .
Drafting instructions	Amend clause 6.14 Community infrastructure floor space at Green Square as follows, with additional text shown as <u>bold underline</u> and deleted text as bold strikethrough :
	(1) The objectives of this clause <u>are:</u> is to allow greater densities where community infrastructure is also provided.

÷.

AMENDMENT 8 - COMMUNITY	Y INFRASTRUCTURE FLOOR SPACE IN GREEN SQUARE
(a)	to allow greater densities where equivalent community
	infrastructure is also provided,
(b)	to provide for an intensity of development that remains
	commensurate with the capacity of existing and planned
	infrastructure notwithstanding the greater density,
(c)	to ensure that new development with the greater density reflects
	the desired character of the locality in which it is located and
	minimises adverse impacts on the amenity of the locality.
(2) A b	uilding on land in an Area specified in paragraph (a), (b), (c), (d), (e) or (f)
is e	ligible for an amount of additional floor space (<i>community</i>
infi	<i>astructure floor space</i>) <u>up</u> equivalent to that <u>amount</u> which may be
ach	ieved by applying the floor space ratio specified in the relevant
par	agraph to the building, but only if the development of the land includes
equ	ivalent community infrastructure development for the purposes of
rec rec	reation areas, recreation facilities (indoor), recreation facilities
	tdoor), public roads, drainage or flood mitigation works:
(a)	Area 5—0.25:1,
(b)	Area 6—0.5:1,
(c)	Area 70.75:1,
(d)	Area 8—1:1,
(e)	Area 9—1.5:1,
(f)	Area 10—2.2:1.
(3) In t	his clause:
Ar	ea means an Area shown on the <u>Floor Space Ratio Map</u> .
	uivalent community infrastructure means:
(a)	development for the purposes of recreation areas, recreation
	facilities (indoor), recreation facilities (outdoor), public roads,
	drainage or flood mitigation works;
(b)	which are reasonably necessary infrastructure in the Green Square
	area; and
(c)	the cost of the infrastructure is equal to or greater than the sum
	<u>calculated by the equivalent community infrastructure formula.</u>
E G	uivalent community infrastructure formula means the calculation of the
	lue of Community Infrastructure set out in a development control plan
	force as at the date of grant of development consent.
<u>m</u>	

AMENDMENT 9	AMENDMENT 9 - CORRECT SUN ACCESS PLANE POINTS	
Objectives/ intended outcomes	To provide correct descriptions of the points from which the sun access planes are drawn for Belmore Park and Hyde Park west	
Site identification	Belmore Park and Hyde Park West	
Explanation	In the note to clause 6.17 (5)(a) replace the reference to 'Castlereagh Street' with 'Pitt Street'. In the note to clause 6.17 (10)(a) replace the reference to 'eastern alignment' with 'western alignment'.	
Justification	The intent of the sun access planes clause is to maximise sunlight access to public places. It establishes sun access planes for eight major public areas: Belmore Park, Hyde Park, Macquarie Place, Martin Place, Pitt Street Mall, the Domain, Royal Botanic Gardens, and Wynyard Park. A building must not project above any sun access plane.	
	Each sun access plane is described using two points (identified by mapping grid coordinates and Reduced Level (RL)), a specified horizontal bearing and a vertical angle. The land affected by the sun access planes is shown on the Sun Access Protection Map.	
	The proposed changes relate to the sun access planes for Belmore Park and Hyde Park West.	
	The amendment corrects the notes which provide plain english descriptions of the coordinates for the sun access plane points. The clause uses the coordinates to define the sun access plane points rather than the description in the notes or map. The changes do not affect the coordinates in the clause, the Sun Access Protection Map or location of the sun access planes.	
	The change will reduce the chance of misinterpretation or confusion by making the notes consistent with the clause.	
	The locations of the coordinates have been checked against the descriptions. The X coordinate for the Belmore Park 1A sun access plane is approximately 95m west of the western alignment of <u>Pitt Street</u> , not Castlereagh Street, as shown in Figure 1.	



AMENDMENT 9 - CORRECT SUN ACCESS PLANE POINTS ELIZABETH STREET CASTLEREAGH E HYDE PARK WEST SAP Point X: 34384E, 50064N,70RL Note that 'X' is aligned with western edge of Elizabeth Street Figure 2: Hyde Park West sun access plane Drafting Amend clause 6.17 (5) (a) and (10) (a) and (b) Sun Access Plane, as follows, with Instructions deleted text shown as strikethrough and additional text shown as bold underline. 6.17 - Sun Access Plane (5) Belmore Park For the Belmore Park 1A sun access plane: (a) X is a point at 34067E, 49731N, 30RL, and Note. Approximately 25 metres above the northern alignment of Hay Street 95 metres west from the junction of the northern alignment of Hay Street and the western alignment of Castlereagh Street Pitt Street. (10) Hyde Park West For the Hyde Park West 3 sun access plane: (a) X is a point at 34384E, 50064N, 70RL, and Note. Approximately 45 metres above the junction of the eastern western alignment of Elizabeth Street and the northern alignment of Liverpool Street. (b) Y is a point at 34458E, 50900N, 71RL, and Note. Approximately 45 metres above the junction of the eastern western alignment of Elizabeth Street and the southern alignment of King Street.

AMENDMENT 10	- CORRECT DESIGN EXCELLENCE CAPITAL VALUE REFERENCE
Objectives/ intended outcomes	Correct the reference to the value threshold to more accurately define the trigger for undertaking a competitive design process.
Site identification	Applicable developments City-wide
Explanation	Replace 'capital value' with 'capital investment value' in clause 6.21 (5)(b)
Justification	'Capital value' is not defined in Sydney LEP 2012 or in the Environmental Planning and Assessment Regulations 2000 (EP&A Regulations).
	'Capital investment value' is defined in the EP&A Regulations under Clause 3 Definitions as:
	 capital investment value of a development or project includes all costs necessary to establish and operate the project, including the design and construction of buildings, structures, associated infrastructure and fixed or mobile plant and equipment, other than the following costs: (a) amounts payable, or the cost of land dedicated or any other benefit provided, under a condition imposed under Division 6 or 6A of Part 4 of the Act or a planning agreement under that Division, (b) costs relating to any part of the development or project that is the subject of a separate development consent or project approval, (c) land costs (including any costs of marketing and selling land), (d) GST (within the meaning of A New Tax System (Goods and Services Tax) Act 1999 of the Commonwealth).
	Using 'capital investment value' as defined in the EP&A Regulations will ensure a consistent application of the requirement to undertake a competitive design process.
Drafting Instructions	Amend Clause 6.21, as follows, with additional text shown as bold underline and deleted text shown as strikethrough :
	 6.21 Design excellence (5) Development consent must not be granted to the following development to which this clause applies unless a competitive design process has been held in relation to the proposed development: (b) development having a capital value capital investment value of more than \$100,000,000,





AMENDMENT 11 - CORRECT DESCRIPTION OF OPPORTUNITY SITE - 453 AND 443-451 KENT STREET, SYDNEY

	Figure 4: 443-451 Kent Street Sydney open space at street level as at May 2014
Explanation	Amend the Opportunity Sites Map (Sheet OPS_015) to remove 453 Kent Street, Sydney and identify 443-451 Kent Street, Sydney as an opportunity site.
Justification	Opportunity sites are existing buildings in Central Sydney with colonnades or forecourts that do not make a positive contribution to the streetscape or pedestrian amenity or to the public domain. Under clause 6.9 of the LEP, opportunity sites are eligible for additional floor space, known as opportunity site floor space, to infill colonnades and forecourts. The clause requires public domain improvements to be carried out to be awarded opportunity site floor space and the matters that the consent authority must consider before granting consent to development that uses opportunity site floor space. The clause is a translation of similar provisions in Sydney LEP 2005. Under the clause, public domain improvements are defined for infilling setback areas or colonnades that adjoin a public road, reconfiguring the space between the street and an existing building for access or public open space, or relocation of existing driveways and ramps. A submission has been received on behalf of the owners of 443-451 Kent Street requesting clarification on whether the opportunity site clause applies to its site. The Opportunity Sites Map (Sheet OPS_015) identifies 453 Kent Street Sydney as an opportunity site. Incorrectly identifying 453 Kent Street Sydney as an opportunity site may encourage proponents to seek additional floor space which is not appropriate. A 2002 discussion paper reviewing opportunity sites in Sydney LEP 1996 confirms the identification of 453 Kent Street is an error and that 443-451 Kent Street should be mapped instead. The discussion paper was part of a larger review of the Central Sydney LEP 1996. It identified additional opportunity sites based on their potential

AMENDMENT 11 - CORRECT DESCRIPTION OF OPPORTUNITY SITE - 453 AND 443-451 KENT STREET, SYDNEY	
	to provide public domain improvements. 443-451 Kent Street was found to be an opportunity site but identified by the wrong address.
	453 Kent Street does not have any colonnades or forecourts that can be in-filled or reconfigured. It should not be identified as an opportunity site and should be removed from the opportunity sites map.
	443-451 Kent Street has a forecourt and the potential for in-fill or reconfiguration to improve its relationship to the street and the amenity for those who use the building and the public. The 2002 review of opportunity sites found the space created by the setback is poor in quality, receiving little sunlight and protection from the elements. The site is able to achieve the Council's policy intent and is recommended to be identified as an opportunity site on the opportunities site map.
Drafting Instructions	In the Opportunity Sites Map – Sheet OPS-015, remove 453 Kent Street and insert 443-451 Kent Street (mapping and key reference).
	A draft amended map to replace Opportunity Sites Map – Sheet OPS-015 is attached.


AMENDMENT 12	-CORRECT LEP MAPPING CADASTRE- 17 ELIZABETH BAY ROAD, ELIZABETH BAY
Objectives/ intended outcomes	Amend the Building Height Map and Floor Space Ratio Map for 17 Elizabeth Bay Road, Elizabeth Bay in Sydney LEP 2012 to match the boundaries to updated information from the NSW Land and Property Information digital cadastral database.
Site identification	The site is located on the southern side of Elizabeth Bay Road, at the intersection with Birtley Place and is identified as Lot 1 DP 83292, Lots 1-52 SP 42232.
Explanation	Amend Height of Building Map and Floor Space Ratio Map for 17 Elizabeth Bay Road, Elizabeth Bay to align boundaries with the updated property boundaries.
Justification	17 Elizabeth Bay Road, Elizabeth Bay is an existing residential flat building containing 32 apartments.
	The NSW Land and Property Information (LPI) regularly update their digital cadastral database. This data is provided to all Councils in NSW and is the basis of LEP maps.
	The boundaries between different Height and FSR standards are typically drawn along property boundaries so that one height and one FSR applies to a property. The property boundaries on which the maps are based were incorrect for 17 Elizabeth Bay Road.
	This misalignment between the FSR and height map boundaries and the property boundary was identified through a development application for the site. The boundaries have been updated and the height and FSR boundaries need to be redrawn to align with the correct property boundary. The boundaries are shown in Figure 1 below.
	C John Contraction of the second
	Figure 1: Extract from LEP Height of Buildings Map. The existing height boundary is shown as the dashed yellow line and the updated property boundary and proposed height boundary is shown as the blue dotted line.

AMENDMENT 1	2 -CORRECT LEP MAPPING CADASTRE- 17 ELIZABETH BAY ROAD, ELIZABETH BAY
	This change to the maps will reflect the updated property boundary of 17 Elizabeth Bay Road, Elizabeth Bay. This change will remove confusion and enable the accurate use of Sydney LEP 2012.
Drafting Instructions	Adjust the height and FSR boundaries on the maps HOB Sheet _022 and FSR Sheet _022 to reflect the updated cadastre information and align to the property boundary of 17 Elizabeth Bay Road, Elizabeth Bay. Maps showing the amendments are attached.





AMENDMENT 13	- CORRECT DESCRIPTION OF SCHEDULE 5 HERITAGE ITEM – 22-26 YORK STREET, SYDNEY
Objectives/ intended outcomes	To correct the property description in the heritage listing for 22-26 York Street Sydney, so that the listing does not apply to buildings that do not have heritage significance.
Site identification	22-26 York Street Sydney is located on the eastern side of York Street, north of the intersection of King Street. It has an approximate site area of 1068m ² and comprises all of the land in Strata Plan 62101, being Lots 1 to 7 and common property. The site is occupied by two heritage warehouses: 24 York Street Sydney, a local heritage item, and 22 York Street Sydney, a state heritage item (Figure 1).
	Figure 1: 22-26 York Street Sydney as at May 2014
Explanation	Remove Lot 1 DP 1006536, which relates to 345 George Street Sydney, from the
	property description for the heritage listed item 22-26 York Street.
Justification	The property description for 22-26 York Street in schedule 5 includes the Lot and DP details of 345-355 George Street. There are no other references to 345-355 George Street in the listing. There is no reference to 345-355 George Street on the heritage map sheet HER_014 (Figure 2).





AMENDMENT 13	- CORRECT DES	CRIPTION OF S	CHEDULE 5 HEI	RITAGE ITEM -	22-26 YORK ST	REET, SYDNEY	
	Street from schedule 5 will not alter the character of the Special Character Area.						
	subdivided. 3 titles. There i 345-355 Geo 22-26 York St vehicle and p	reet and bene	e Street and 2 or through sit 357-363 Geo ofitting 345-35 ess and utility	22-26 York Str e link that cor rge Street). Th 55 George Stro services from	eet are now c nnects 22-26 \ here is an eas eet for the pu n York Street.	on separate York Street to ement affecting	
	The easements are partly located upon 22-26 York Street but do not form part of the ownership or tenure of the 'land' at 345-355 George Street. They are noted on the Titles as registered easements only. 345-355 George Street is not linked to 22- 26 York Street in terms of heritage significance, titles or ownership. The proposed removal of 345-355 George Street from the heritage listing for 22-26 York Street will not affect the heritage significance of 22-26 York Street.						
Drafting Instructions	Remove 'Lot 1 DP 1006536' from the property description for the heritage item at 22-26 York Street (I1976), as shown as bold strikethrough below:						
	Locality	Item name	Address	Property descriptio n	Significanc e	Item No.	
	Sydney	Former warehous e including interiors (22 York Street)	22-26 York Street	Lot 103, DP 1010007 (SP62101) ; Lot 1, DP100653 6	State	11976	
			X	····			

AMENDMENT 14	- ALTER SCHEDULE 5 HERITAGE ITEM- 372 RILEY STREET, SURRY HILLS						
Objectives/ intended outcomes	To ensure the heritage schedule is accurate and reflect the significance of the building at 372 Riley Street Surry Hills.						
Site	372 Riley Street Surry Hills is located on the eastern side of Riley Street, north of						
identification	the intersection of Collins Street (see Figure 1). It is identified as Lot 1 DP 770605.						
	Subjects Subjects						
	Figure 1: Location of 372 Riley Street Surry Hills						
Explanation	Remove the heritage listing for 372 Riley Street Surry Hills from schedule 5 of Sydney LEP 2012.						
Justification	The site is currently listed as a heritage item in Sydney LEP 2012 (I1612). Demolition of the single storey mid-Victorian cottage heritage item was approved by the City's Small Permits and Appeals Panel in January 2013 (RD/2007/2159/A). It was noted that the property had been subject to vast changes and only a very minor amount of original fabric with heritage significance or value remained, which was incapable of being made compliant with current building codes.						
	underway (D/2013/37) (see Figure 2). As the heritage building no longer exists, the heritage listing for the site is no longer appropriate and should be removed from schedule 5.						
	The site is located within the Little Riley Street heritage conservation area. This listing will remain in effect. The proposed change has no effect on this.						

	1	Figure 2: 3	72 Riley Stree	et Surry Hills as	s at June 2014	
Drafting Instructions	Amend Schec	_			s at June 2014 n as bold strike	
-	Amend Scheo Locality	_				
-		lule 5 as follow	ws, with delet	ted text shown	n as bold strike	ethrough:



AMENDMENT 15	- ALTER SCHEDULE 5 HERITAGE ITEM – 73 GLEBE POINT ROAD, GLEBE
Objectives/ intended outcomes	To ensure the heritage schedule is accurate and reflect the significance of the building at 73 Glebe Point Road Glebe.
Site identification	73 Glebe Point Road Glebe is located on the north-eastern side of Glebe Point Road, north of the intersection of Cowper Street. It is identified as Lot 1 DP 736366. The location and a photo of the site are provided below.
	Patket 21P 1092000 *** *** *** *** *** **** **********
Explanation	Remove the heritage listing for 73 Glebe Point Road Glebe from schedule 5 of Sydney LEP 2012 as it has been demolished.
Justification	The site is currently listed as a heritage item in Sydney LEP 2012 (1700). The site was previously occupied by a heritage listed single storey masonry building that was used as a restaurant. The building was destroyed by fire and subsequently demolished. The site currently includes a single storey building which is a reconstruction of the previous commercial premises approved with development consent (D/2013/273) (see Figure 2). While the consent required the reconstruction be sensitive to and acknowledge the building's prior history there is no original or surviving fabric that remains for heritage listing. The heritage listing for the site is no longer appropriate and should be removed from schedule 5.

	Glebe	Commercial building, including	73 Glebe Point Road,	Lot 1, DP 736366	Local	1700
	Locality	Item name	Address	Property description	Significance	Item No.
Drafting Instructions	Amend Sche	edule 5 as follow	s, with delet	ed text shown	as bold striket	hrough:
	listing will re	ocated within the emain in effect.	e Glebe Point The proposed	d change has n	conservation o effect on this	5.



Objectives/	To provide a	n accurate prop	perty descript	ion for the he	ritage listing at	69-77 King
intended	Street, Newt	own.				
outcomes						
Site identification	69-77 King St Missenden R	reet, Newtowr oad.	n is located on	the northern	side of King St	reet, east of
Explanation		inaccuracy in t lewtown, with			-	tem at 69-77
Justification	Local Environ building 'Troc	reet Newtown mental Plan 20 cadero Hall' inc cription as Lot)12 (I988). Th Iuding interio	e item name f	or the listing is	"Commercia
		description is Lot 5 DP67004			erty descriptio	n for 69-77
	14 1 00 227281 19800	Compbell Street	81 (PT)	OD 1044227	P 61354Lot 3 DP 1005179 53 5 K	P 1117009
Drafting Instructions	King Street, N	roperty descrip lewtown as fol as bold striket f	lows, with ad			
	Locality	ltem name	Address	Property description	Significance	Item No.
	Newtown	Commercial building, "Trocadero Hall" including	69-77 King Street	Lot 1, Lot 5, DP67004	State	1988

Objectives/ intended outcomes	To provide an accurate property description for the heritage listing for 117-119 Young Street Redfern.					
Site identification	117-119 Young Street, Redfern is located on the eastern side of Young Street, nort of Zamia Street and south of Ogden Lane.					
Explanation	To correct an inaccuracy in the property description for the heritage item at 117- 119 Young Street, Redfern within schedule 5 of Sydney LEP 2012.					
	2012 (I1369) (see Figure 1). The item name for the listing is the "St Saviour's Anglican Church group church and rectory including interiors". The listing has the property description as Lot 1, DP 177708; Lot A, DP 359196.					
	Figure 1: Extent of heritage item at 117-119 Young Street, Redfern The property description is incorrect. The correct property description for 117-11					





AMENDMENT 18 - CORRECT DESCRIPTION OF SCHEDULE 5 HERITAGE ITEM – 97-99 VICTORIA STREET, POTTS POINT



Figure 2: 97 and 99 Victoria Street Potts Point at May 2014



Figure 3: 97 Victoria Street Potts Point at May 2014

POINT	CORRECT DESCRIPTION OF SCHEDULE 5 HERITAGE ITEM – 97-99 VICTORIA STREET, POTTS
Explanation	To correct the item name description to refer to the two dwellings that exist at 97-
	99 Victoria Street, Potts Point.
Justification	 97 and 99 Victoria Street Potts Point are two lots under separate ownership. Both sites are listed as one heritage item under schedule 5 and heritage map HER_021 of Sydney LEP 2012, and within the Potts Point heritage conservation area. The heritage significance of each dwelling is unclear as the description under "Item name" column of the item listing suggests that only one of the two dwellings has heritage significance. This suggestion can be traced back to the entry in South Sydney LEP 1998. Furthermore, both buildings have heritage significance based on the heritage inventory sheets for each site. In accordance with the Department of Planning's LEP Practise Note PN11-001 <i>Preparing LEPs using the Standard Instrument: standard clauses</i>, the "Item Name" column should include a brief description of things that are part of the heritage significance of the item. The "Property Description" column for 97-99 Victoria Street in schedule 5 describes the two separate lots, whereas the "Item name" column states <i>Terrace house including interior and front fence</i>. The City recommends that the "Item Name" column be amended to clarify that both dwellings are part of the heritage listing. The one heritage listing over both sites will remain in effect and has the same effect as if the sites were individually listed. The site is located within a conservation area. This listing will remain in effect. The proposed change has no effect on this.

Drafting Instructions	Amend Schedule 5 Environmental Heritage for 97-99 Victoria Street, Potts Point with additional text shown as <u>bold underline</u> and deleted text as bold strikethrough:					
	Locality	ltem name	Address	Property descriptio n	Significanc e	Item No.
	Potts Point	Terrace h <u>H</u> ouse <u>s</u> including interior <u>s</u> and front fence <u>s</u>	97-99 Victoria Street	Lots 6 and 7, DP115693 5	Local	11172

Objectives/ intended outcomes	To accurately reflect the significance of 1-3 Rosebery Avenue, Rosebery in schedule 5 of Sydney LEP 2012.								
Explanation	Correct the item name from "National Springs" to "Moffat-Virtue" for item number 11379 relating to 1-3 Rosebery Avenue, Rosebery, within schedule 5 of Sydney LEP 2012.								
Justification	1-3 Rosebery Avenue Rosebery is located on the western side of Rosebery Avenue, a the corner intersection between Rosebery Avenue and Epsom Road. (see Figures 1 and 2).								

OSEBERY		the second s		and the second se		1				
	2/11									
	Series -									
	6-21					Ival in				
		and and and and and	Los a Theorem	and the second diversion of	The second					
			and the second s							
		1		Mar Do Mar Olice		we do				
					2					
				ARCE		Sec. 14				
						Server and the				
	10									
						- Store				
	Figure 2: 1-3 Rosebery Avenue Rosebery as at June 2014									
		inguie Li 1 S	,		,					
	The item nar									
		ne refers to a i	recent owner	of the site, Na	ational Springs	Pty Ltd.				
	However, the	ne refers to a i e most significa	recent owner ant owner of	of the site, Na the site is Mol		Pty Ltd. a engineering				
	However, the and machine constructed	ne refers to a l e most significa ry merchant fo in 1943. Best h	recent owner ant owner of or whom the neritage pract	of the site, Na the site is Mof office and war ise is for an ite	ational Springs ffat-Virtue Ltd, rehouse buildir em name that	Pty Ltd. a engineering ngs were references the				
	However, the and machine constructed most signific	ne refers to a i e most significa ry merchant fo in 1943. Best h ant historical c	recent owner ant owner of or whom the neritage pract owner as this	of the site, Na the site is Mol office and war ise is for an ite reflects the sig	ational Springs fat-Virtue Ltd, rehouse buildir	Pty Ltd. a engineering ngs were references the				
	However, the and machine constructed most signific	ne refers to a l e most significa ry merchant fo in 1943. Best h	recent owner ant owner of or whom the neritage pract owner as this	of the site, Na the site is Mol office and war ise is for an ite reflects the sig	ational Springs ffat-Virtue Ltd, rehouse buildir em name that	Pty Ltd. a engineering ngs were references the				
rafting	However, the and machine constructed most signific not become	ne refers to a r e most significa ry merchant fo in 1943. Best h ant historical c redundant as o	recent owner ant owner of or whom the neritage pract owner as this ownership cha	of the site, Na the site is Mof office and war ise is for an ite reflects the sig anges.	ational Springs ffat-Virtue Ltd, rehouse buildir em name that gnificance of th	Pty Ltd. a engineering ngs were references the ne site and do				
-	However, the and machine constructed most signific not become Delete text s	ne refers to a ne most significa ry merchant fo in 1943. Best h ant historical c redundant as o hown as bold	recent owner ant owner of or whom the neritage pract owner as this ownership cha	of the site, Na the site is Mol office and war ise is for an ite reflects the sig anges.	ational Springs ffat-Virtue Ltd, rehouse buildir em name that	Pty Ltd. a engineering ngs were references the ne site and do old underline				
-	However, the and machine constructed most signific not become Delete text s	ne refers to a ne most significa ry merchant fo in 1943. Best h ant historical c redundant as o hown as bold	recent owner ant owner of or whom the neritage pract owner as this ownership cha	of the site, Na the site is Mol office and war ise is for an ite reflects the sig anges.	ational Springs ifat-Virtue Ltd, rehouse buildir em name that gnificance of th xt shown as <u>bc</u>	Pty Ltd. a engineering ngs were references the ne site and do old underline				
-	However, the and machine constructed most signific not become Delete text s the "Item Na Schedule 5:	ne refers to a ne most significa ry merchant fo in 1943. Best h ant historical o redundant as o hown as bold me″ column fo	recent owner ant owner of or whom the peritage pract owner as this ownership cha strikethrough or 1-3 Rosebe	of the site, Na the site is Mol office and war ise is for an ite reflects the sig anges. and insert te ry Avenue Ros	ational Springs ifat-Virtue Ltd, rehouse buildir em name that gnificance of th xt shown as <u>bc</u> sebery (item n	Pty Ltd. a engineering ngs were references the ne site and do old underline umber 11379)				
-	However, the and machine constructed most signific not become Delete text s the "Item Na	ne refers to a ne most significa ry merchant fo in 1943. Best h ant historical c redundant as o hown as bold	recent owner ant owner of or whom the neritage pract owner as this ownership cha	of the site, Na the site is Mol office and war ise is for an ite reflects the sig anges. and insert te ry Avenue Ros	ational Springs ifat-Virtue Ltd, rehouse buildir em name that gnificance of th xt shown as <u>bc</u>	Pty Ltd. a engineering ngs were references the ne site and do old underline				
-	However, the and machine constructed most signific not become Delete text s the "Item Na Schedule 5:	ne refers to a ne most significa ry merchant fo in 1943. Best h ant historical o redundant as o hown as bold me″ column fo	recent owner ant owner of or whom the peritage pract owner as this ownership cha strikethrough or 1-3 Rosebe	of the site, Na the site is Mol office and war ise is for an ite reflects the sig anges. and insert te ry Avenue Ros	ational Springs ifat-Virtue Ltd, rehouse buildir em name that gnificance of th xt shown as <u>bc</u> sebery (item n	Pty Ltd. a engineering ngs were references the ne site and do old underline umber 11379)				
-	However, the and machine constructed most signific not become Delete text s the "Item Na Schedule 5: Locality	ne refers to a ne most significa ry merchant fo in 1943. Best h ant historical o redundant as o hown as bold n e" column fo	recent owner ant owner of or whom the neritage pract owner as this ownership cha strikethrough or 1-3 Rosebe Address	of the site, Na the site is Mol office and war ise is for an ite reflects the sig anges. and insert te ry Avenue Ros Property description	ational Springs ifat-Virtue Ltd, rehouse buildir em name that gnificance of th ext shown as <u>bc</u> sebery (item n Significance	Pty Ltd. a engineering ngs were references the ne site and do <u>old underline</u> umber I1379) Item No.				
-	However, the and machine constructed most signific not become Delete text s the "Item Na Schedule 5:	ne refers to a ne most significa ry merchant fo in 1943. Best h ant historical o redundant as o hown as bold me" column fo Item name	recent owner ant owner of or whom the neritage pract owner as this ownership cha strikethrough or 1-3 Rosebe Address 1-3	of the site, Na the site is Mol office and war ise is for an ite reflects the sig anges. and insert te ry Avenue Ros Property description Lot 1, DP	ational Springs ifat-Virtue Ltd, rehouse buildir em name that gnificance of th xt shown as <u>bc</u> sebery (item n	Pty Ltd. a engineering ngs were references the ne site and do old underline umber 11379)				
-	However, the and machine constructed most signific not become Delete text s the "Item Na Schedule 5: Locality	ne refers to a ne most significa ry merchant fo in 1943. Best h ant historical o redundant as o hown as bold n e" column fo	recent owner ant owner of or whom the heritage pract owner as this ownership cha strikethrough or 1-3 Rosebe Address 1-3 Rosebery	of the site, Na the site is Mol office and war ise is for an ite reflects the sig anges. and insert te ry Avenue Ros Property description Lot 1, DP 19528:	ational Springs ifat-Virtue Ltd, rehouse buildir em name that gnificance of th ext shown as <u>bc</u> sebery (item n Significance	Pty Ltd. a engineering ngs were references the ne site and do <u>old underline</u> umber I1379) Item No.				
-	However, the and machine constructed most signific not become Delete text s the "Item Na Schedule 5: Locality	ne refers to a ne most significa ry merchant fo in 1943. Best h ant historical o redundant as o hown as bold me" column fo Item name Former <u>"National</u>	recent owner ant owner of or whom the neritage pract owner as this ownership cha strikethrough or 1-3 Rosebe Address 1-3	of the site, Na the site is Mol office and war ise is for an ite reflects the sig anges. and insert te ry Avenue Ros Property description Lot 1, DP 19528: Lots C and	ational Springs ifat-Virtue Ltd, rehouse buildir em name that gnificance of th ext shown as <u>bc</u> sebery (item n Significance	Pty Ltd. a engineering ngs were references the ne site and do <u>old underline</u> umber I1379) Item No.				
-	However, the and machine constructed most signific not become Delete text s the "Item Na Schedule 5: Locality	ne refers to a ne most significa ry merchant fo in 1943. Best h ant historical o redundant as o hown as bold i me" column fo litem name Former <u>"National</u> <u>Springs"</u> <u>"Moffat-</u> <u>Virtue"</u>	recent owner ant owner of or whom the heritage pract owner as this ownership cha strikethrough or 1-3 Rosebe Address 1-3 Rosebery	of the site, Na the site is Mol office and war ise is for an ite reflects the sig anges. and insert te ry Avenue Ros Property description Lot 1, DP 19528: Lots C and D, DP	ational Springs ifat-Virtue Ltd, rehouse buildir em name that gnificance of th ext shown as <u>bc</u> sebery (item n Significance	Pty Ltd. a engineering ngs were references the ne site and do <u>old underline</u> umber I1379) Item No.				
-	However, the and machine constructed most signific not become Delete text s the "Item Na Schedule 5: Locality	ne refers to a ne most significativy merchant for in 1943. Best hant historical of redundant as of hown as bold and me" column for Item name Former <u>"National</u> <u>Springs"</u> <u>'Moffat- Virtue"</u> warehouse	recent owner ant owner of or whom the heritage pract owner as this ownership cha strikethrough or 1-3 Rosebe Address 1-3 Rosebery	of the site, Na the site is Mol office and war ise is for an ite reflects the sig anges. and insert te ry Avenue Ros Property description Lot 1, DP 19528: Lots C and	ational Springs ifat-Virtue Ltd, rehouse buildir em name that gnificance of th ext shown as <u>bc</u> sebery (item n Significance	Pty Ltd. a engineering ngs were references the ne site and do <u>old underline</u> umber I1379) Item No.				
-	However, the and machine constructed most signific not become Delete text s the "Item Na Schedule 5: Locality	ne refers to a ne most significative most significative merchant for in 1943. Best hant historical control of the merchant as the merchant as the merchant as the merchant of the maximum for the maximum for the maximum former f	recent owner ant owner of or whom the heritage pract owner as this ownership cha strikethrough or 1-3 Rosebe Address 1-3 Rosebery	of the site, Na the site is Mol office and war ise is for an ite reflects the sig anges. and insert te ry Avenue Ros Property description Lot 1, DP 19528: Lots C and D, DP	ational Springs ifat-Virtue Ltd, rehouse buildir em name that gnificance of th ext shown as <u>bc</u> sebery (item n Significance	Pty Ltd. a engineering ngs were references the ne site and do <u>old underline</u> umber I1379) Item No.				
Prafting	However, the and machine constructed most signific not become Delete text s the "Item Na Schedule 5: Locality	ne refers to a ne most significativy merchant for in 1943. Best hant historical of redundant as of hown as bold and me" column for Item name Former <u>"National</u> <u>Springs"</u> <u>'Moffat- Virtue"</u> warehouse	recent owner ant owner of or whom the heritage pract owner as this ownership cha strikethrough or 1-3 Rosebe Address 1-3 Rosebery	of the site, Na the site is Mol office and war ise is for an ite reflects the sig anges. and insert te ry Avenue Ros Property description Lot 1, DP 19528: Lots C and D, DP	ational Springs ifat-Virtue Ltd, rehouse buildir em name that gnificance of th ext shown as <u>bc</u> sebery (item n Significance	Pty Ltd. a engineering ngs were references the ne site and do <u>old underline</u> umber I1379) Item No.				



Explanation	Accurately describe the heritage items of significance, correct the item name and									
	address details for: - the three terrace house group at 168 to 172 Cathedral Street,									
	- the three terrace house group at 168 to 172 Cathedral Street, Woolloomooloo, and									
	 the Former President Lincoln Hotel at 174 Cathedral Street, 									
	Woolloomooloo.									
Justification	The three terraces are currently listed as a heritage item in Sydney LEP 2012 (I213									
	and identified on H			• •						
	the item listing cor	•								
	the three terraces. rather than the thr	-								
	The address for thi	-		•						
	Cathedral Street, V				es are known a	is 168, 17				
	and 172-174 Cathe	arai Street, V	voolloomool	100.						
	The former Preside	ent Lincoln Ho	otel is curren	tly listed as a	separate herita	age item.				
	The former President Lincoln Hotel is currently listed as a separate heritage item, item number I2133. The item listing currently has the address of the site as 168-17									
	Cathedral Street W	/oolloomoolo	o. This addre	ess is incorrec	t. The correct a	address is				
	172-174 Cathedral	172-174 Cathedral Street, Woolloomooloo.								
	A correction to the "Item Name" column is also required to this item listing. It									
		currently refers to 174-174A Cathedral Street, Woolloomooloo. The reference to 174A is unnecessary and it recommended to be removed to minimise confusion.								
		,			_					
Drafting	Amend Schedule 5		-			ext showr				
instructions	as bold underline a	and deleted t	ext shown as	s bold striketh	rough:					
	Locality	ltem	Address	Property	Significance	Item				
		name		description	-	no.				
	Woolloomooloo	3 Terrace	168 - 174	Lot 11, DP	Local	12132				
		house s	<u>, 170 and</u>	559657;						
		(168-172	<u>172-174</u>	Lots 12						
		Cathedral	Cathedral	and Lot 13						
		Street)	Street	DP 218974						
		including								
	Woolloomooloo	interior Former	168 172-	Lot 14, DP	Local	12133				
		President	174	218974	LUCAI	12122				
		Lincoln	Cathedral	210574						
		Hotel	Street							
		(174- 174A								
		Cathedral								
		Street								
		Street) including								